

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gev

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/926,747	(04/01/2002	Thomas Kraus	740123-402	1888	
22204	7590	07/10/2003				
NIXON PE			EXAMINER			
8180 GREENSBORO DRIVE SUITE 800 MCLEAN, VA 22102				SCHEUERMAN	SCHEUERMANN, DAVID W	
MCLEAN,	/A 22102	2		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2834		

DATE MAILED: 07/10/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	lo I	Applicant(s)					
		09/926,747		KRAUS ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
	-	David W. Sch	auermann	2834					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	L							
Period fo				,					
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, h within the statutory vill apply and will exp cause the applicati	owever, may a reply be tim minimum of thirty (30) days ire SIX (6) MONTHS from on to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 A	April 2003 .							
2a)⊠		is action is no	n-final,						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa			osecution as to the merits is					
,	closed in accordance with the practice under be								
	on of Claims								
•	4) Claim(s) 19-22,24 and 28-35 is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
	Claim(s) <u>19-22,24, and 28-35</u> is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	a alaatian waxu	:						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	r election requ	irement.						
	Γhe specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.							
	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep		ected to b v the Exa r	niner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	_	<u>-</u>						
11)[]	The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>12 De</u>	cember 2001	is: a)⊠ approved b) disapproved by the Exami	ner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office	action.						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a)[a)⊠ All b)⊡ Some * c)⊡ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Buree the attached detailed Office action for a list of	reau (PCT Ru	e 17.2(a)).	J					
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic		-		`				
a)	The translation of the foreign language protections to the protection of the foreign language protection. The translation of the foreign language protections are the foreign language. The translation of the foreign language protections are the foreign language. The translation of the foreign language protections are the foreign language. The translation of the foreign language protections are the foreign language protections are the foreign language. The foreign language protection is the foreign language protection of the foreign language protection is the foreign language protection. The foreign language protection is the foreign language.	visional applic	ation has been rec	eived.	<i>,</i> .				
Attachment		o priority unde	1 33 0.5.0. 99 120	and/01 121.					
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) 5) 6)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)					
0.0.1.1.	1.0%								

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The examiner has considered the information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on July 23, 2002. The U. S. patent documents are shown crossed off in order to prevent duplicate printing as they appear on the 892 attached to the previous Office Action.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The 112 rejections to the acronyms have been overcome adding the meaning into the claims. The 112 rejection as regards the "contour of the worm wheel" has been overcome.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 19 -21, 24 and 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kenny et al., US 4616164 in view of Mochizuki et al., US 4857812. Kenny et al. discloses a drive comprising electric motor 18, driving a wheel 30, a gearbox 10

surrounding the wheel, electrical components 72 and 78 for controlling operation of the electric motor, the gearbox included carrier 16 which bears the motor and the wheel, and a cover 58 made of plastic onto which electric components 72 and 78 are mounted. Kenny et al. does not expressly disclose the wheel being a worm wheel or electronic components mounted directly to the box cover. Mochizuki et al. disclose both a worm wheel used to transmit power from a motor and an electronic circuit attached directly to a gear housing cover, for the purpose of minimizing the space requirement for accommodating the control circuit within the housing as set forth in column 2, lines 13-17. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to us worm wheel to transmit power from the motor and attach electronic components to the cover of the gear housing of Kenny et al. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this to minimize the space requirement for accommodating the control circuit within the housing.

Re claims 20-21 note that Mochizuki et al. teach using a hybrid IC in column 3, lines 35-38. This hybrid IC is equivalent to the application specific integrated circuit.

As to claims 29 and 30, it would have obvious to include an additional card parallel to the cover as it is well known in the art to locate PC boards in parallel within a housing as an expedient packing arrangement.

Claim 22 is are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Kenny et al. and Mochizuki et al. in further view of Hwang et al., US 6426573. The combination of Kenny et al. and Mochizuki et al. show the invention as claimed except the electronic components formed as a surface-mounted device.

Hwang et al. teach using surface mounting, "...for meeting the need to fast and precisely mount such semiconductor devices into the printed circuit board (PCB)." column 1, lines 15-20. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the teachings of Hwang et al. to surface mount the electronic component to the cover. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this to attach the component in a precise and fast manner.

Claims 31- 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Kenny et al. and Mochizuki et al. in further view of Schneider et al., US 4614886. The combination of Kenny et al. and Mochizuki et al. show the invention as claimed except for the brush plate system. In the analogous art of motor drives, Schneider et al. teach forming a gear cover connector receptacle and brush plate out of a single piece of plastic. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the teachings of Schneider et al. to make the brush holder, connector and cover of Mochizuki et al out of a single piece of plastic. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this to reduce production costs and simplify mounting as suggested by Schneider et al., in column 1, lines 21-24.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2834

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David W. Scheuermann whose telephone number is (703) 308-9637. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on (703) 308-1371. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7724 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Dws July 1, 2003

KARL TAMAI PRIMARY EXAMINER